

Cepheid models based on self-consistent stellar evolution and pulsation calculations: the right answer?

Isabelle Baraffe, Yann Alibert, Dominique Méra, Gilles Chabrier

C.R.A.L (UMR 5574 CNRS), Ecole Normale Supérieure, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France

ibaraffe, yalibert, dmera, chabrier @ens-lyon.fr

and

Jean-Philippe Beaulieu

Kapteyn Institute, PO box 800, 9700 AV Groningen, The Netherlands

beaulieu@astro.rug.nl

Subject headings: Cepheids — stars: evolution

Received _____; accepted _____

ABSTRACT

We have computed stellar evolutionary models for stars in a mass range characteristic of Cepheid variables ($3 < m/M_{\odot} < 12$) for different metallicities representative of the Galaxy and the Magellanic Clouds populations. The stellar evolution calculations are coupled to a linear non adiabatic stability analysis to get self-consistent mass-period-luminosity relations. The period - luminosity relation as a function of metallicity is analysed and compared to the recent EROS observations in the Magellanic Clouds. The models reproduce the observed width of the instability strips for the SMC and LMC. We determine a statistical P-L relationship, taking into account the evolutionary timescales and a mass distribution given by a Salpeter mass function. Excellent agreement is found with the SMC PL relationship determined by Sasselov et al. (1997). The models reproduce the change of slope in the P-L relationship near $P \sim 2.5$ days discovered recently by the EROS collaboration (Bauer 1997; Bauer et al. 1998) and thus explain this feature in term of stellar evolution. Some discrepancy, however, remains for the LMC Cepheids.

The models are also in good agreement with Beat Cepheids observed by the MACHO and EROS collaborations. We show that most of the 1H/2H Beat Cepheids have not yet ignited central helium burning; they are just evolving off the Main Sequence toward the red giant branch.

1. Introduction

Over the past five years, tremendous efforts have been devoted to the search for dark matter through microlensing effects. This systematic search has provided two large databases of variable stars in the Magellanic Clouds due respectively to the EROS group (Renault et al. 1996, Beaulieu & Sasselov 1996 and references therein) and the MACHO group (Welch et al. 1996 and references therein). The wealth of data collected for Cepheids by both projects provides a unique tool to analyse period - luminosity relations and their sensitivity to metal abundances. A significant number of Beat Cepheids, oscillating in both the fundamental (F) and first overtone (1H) modes or the first and second (2H) overtone modes have also been observed in the Clouds.

The analysis of the EROS Cepheids (Sasselov et al. 1997, S97) in the small (SMC) and Large (LMC) Magellanic Clouds has recently revived the old debate regarding the metallicity dependence of the period - luminosity (PL) relationship (see Tanvir 1997 for a review). A careful comparison of the observed PL relations in both clouds enabled S97 to disentangle from differential distance and reddening a significant metallicity effect, which is not predicted by theoretical analysis (Chiosi, Wood & Capitano 1993, CWC93).

Analysis of Beat Cepheids based on the OPAL opacity data set (Iglesias & Rogers 1991; Iglesias and Rogers 1996) yield now good agreement for galactic Bump and Beat Cepheids (Moskalik et al. 1992; Christensen-Dalsgaard 1993, Christensen-Dalsgaard and Petersen 1995), but discrepancies still remain regarding the Magellanic Clouds (Christensen-Dalsgaard & Petersen 1995; Buchler et al. 1996; Morgan & Welch 1997, MW97).

None of the afore-mentioned analysis is really consistent since the stability analysis are based on envelope models using input physics different from the ones entering the stellar evolution calculations. Moreover, the width of the instability strip and in particular the

position of the blue edge are deduced from stability analysis, varying arbitrarily T_{eff} for a given mass - luminosity (ML) relationship, independently of any stellar evolution constraint on T_{eff} . The aim of this letter is to present fully consistent stellar and pulsation calculations based on the most recent opacity data set. In §2 we present the stellar and pulsation calculations. Section 3 is devoted to the comparison with the observed PL relationships for fundamental mode pulsators in the SMC and LMC. The Beat Cepheids are analysed in section 4 and conclusions are given in §5.

2. Stellar evolution and pulsation calculations

Our stellar evolution calculations include the updated OPAL opacities (Iglesias & Rogers 1996) for $T > 6000K$. For lower temperatures, we use the Alexander & Ferguson (1994) opacities. Convection is based on the mixing-length theory with a mixing length parameter $l_{\text{mix}} = 1.5 H_p$. The onset of convective instability is based on the Schwarzschild criterion, without either overshooting or semi-convection prescriptions.

The linear non-adiabatic stability analysis is based on a radial pulsation code originally developed by Lee (1985; Lee and Saio 1989). The convective flux F_{conv} is assumed to be frozen in, i.e. the perturbations δF_{conv} are neglected into the linearized energy equation.

The stellar evolution and pulsation codes are coupled. This yields a fully consistent mass - luminosity - period - evolutionary time relationship. We have computed stellar models in the mass range 3 - 12 $M_{\odot}$ with various chemical composition $(Z, Y) = (0.02, 0.28)$, $(0.01, 0.25)$ and $(0.004, 0.25)$, representative of respectively the galactic, LMC and SMC environments. The detailed evolutionary tracks will be published elsewhere and we focus in the present letter on the main results. Figure 1 shows the evolutionary tracks of $Z=0.004$ stars in a theoretical Hertzsprung-Russell diagram (HRD), for $m = 3 - 7 M_{\odot}$.

Unstable models are indicated by either open circles or crosses. The distinction is purely artificial: the crosses refer to the usual Cepheid "blue loop" instability which occurs during the central helium burning phase while the open circles correspond to the first rapid crossing of the HRD when the stars evolve off the Main Sequence toward the red giant branch on a thermal timescale. This phase, of typically $\sim 10^4$ years, is referred to in the following as the "first crossing instability". Since this phase is about 1/100 shorter than the blue loop one, the large data base now available may allow the detection of some Cepheids in the first crossing instability.

As shown in Fig. 1, the extension of the $3 M_\odot$ blue loop (crosses, solid line) is significantly reduced, compared to higher mass stars. In this case, the blue edge is determined by the *turn-over of the evolutionary track*. This reduction of the blue loop extension is expected from stellar evolution since blue loops during core helium burning start at a minimum mass m_{min} and vanish for $m \gtrsim 10M_\odot$, depending on the initial composition and the convective mixing treatment (cf. El Eid 1994). Note that m_{min} decreases with metallicity. We find $m_{min} \sim 3M_\odot$ for $Z=0.004$, $m_{min} \sim 3.75M_\odot$ for $Z=0.01$ and $m_{min} \sim 4.5M_\odot$ for $Z=0.02$.

3. Period - luminosity relationships

In Fig. 2a-b we compare our calculations with observed fundamental mode pulsators in the LMC and SMC in a $P - M_V$ diagram. The EROS (B_E , R_E) magnitudes were transformed into the Johnson-V magnitude according to Beaulieu et al. (1995). We adopt the extinction $E(B-V) = 0.10$ for the LMC and $E(B-V) = 0.125$ for the SMC with $R_V = 3.3$ and distance moduli $(m - M)_0 = 18.5$ for the LMC and $(m - M)_0 = 19.13$ for the SMC (cf. Beaulieu et al. 1997a). Comparison is also made with the Laney & Stobie (1994, LS94) data, which extend to longer periods than the EROS data. The transformation of

the theoretical quantities (L , T_{eff}) into M_V are based on the Allard and Hauschildt (1998) most recent atmosphere models. These models originally developed for M-dwarfs do not extend below $\log g < 3.5$. We therefore use the bolometric corrections at constant $\log g = 3.5$ but take metallicity effects into account. We verified however that gravity effects in the V-band are small.

We determine a theoretical statistical $P - M_V$ relationship under the form $M_V = A \log P + B$ with a weighted least square fit by minimizing the quantity :

$$Q = \sum_i \alpha_i (M_{Vi} - A \log P_i - B)^2$$

where the summation extends over all the stellar masses. The coefficients $\alpha_i(m_i, t)$ depend on the mass distribution and on the evolutionary times. We adopt a Salpeter mass function (MF) $dN/dm \propto m^{-2.35}$ and the time dependence derives directly from the coupled evolution and pulsation calculations. Although the present day MF in the MC may differ from an initial Salpeter MF, we verified that variations of the slope of the MF between -2 and -4 barely affect the slope of the PL relationship. Such a steep MF favors the lowest mass stars because (i) of the number of stars itself and (ii) of the longer time spent in the instability strip as the mass decreases. The slope of the PL relationship is thus hardly affected by stars with $m \geq 7M_{\odot}$.

In Fig. 2a, comparison is made between the SMC observations and the $Z=0.004$ models with masses $m = 3 - 12 M_{\odot}$. The first crossing unstable models are indicated by open circles but only for $m \leq 4M_{\odot}$. Although included in the calculations, the first crossing instability phase for $m \geq 5M_{\odot}$ is statistically insignificant, since the time spent during this phase is more than 300 times smaller than the time spent by a $4 M_{\odot}$ in the instability strip (first crossing and blue loop). We thus predict the existence of Cepheids with periods $P \lesssim 1$ day, as the signature of the first instability strip, but the observation of these objects requires larger statistics. The models agree reasonably well with the observed width of the

instability strip, although they do not reach the observed blue edge of the EROS data. The faintest objects ($M_V \geq -1.5, \log P \leq 0.4$) seem to indicate a change of slope in the PL relationship, becoming steeper for $\log P \lesssim 0.4$, as discovered in the EROS-2 Cepheid sample and analysed carefully by Bauer (1997) and Bauer et al. (1998). We note that such a trend is observed in the theoretical relation near $\sim 3 M_\odot$. This change of slope is thus real and stems from stellar evolution, illustrating the reduction of the He blue loop as mass decreases (cf. §2). Note also that the period of the minimum mass undergoing a blue loop ($\sim 3M_\odot$) is consistent with the faintest observed SMC Cepheids. Finally the average slope of our $P - M_V$ relationship is $A = -2.92$, in excellent agreement with the slope derived by S97.

Fig. 2b shows the results for the LMC with $Z=0.01$ and masses from 3.75 to $12 M_\odot$. As in Fig. 2a, the models reproduce correctly the observed width of the instability strip and the overall agreement with observations is good. We note that the minimum theoretical “unstable” mass undergoing a blue loop $m_{min} \sim 3.75M_\odot$ for $Z=0.01$ does not correspond to the faintest objects observed, which correspond to $\sim 4.25M_\odot$. We predict that fundamental pulsators with $\log P \lesssim 0.3$ days should be in the first crossing instability. The slope of the PL relationship with a minimum mass of $4.25M_\odot$ is $A = -2.50$, shallower than the one observed in the LMC by S97. In order to test the influence of chemical composition, we have recomputed the whole grid of models with ($Z=0.008, Y=0.25$) and ($Z=0.01, Y=0.28$), without any substantial modification of the theoretical slope. For solar metallicity models, we find also a slope $A = -2.55$ shallower than the one observed in the Milky Way. A detailed analysis of the possible sources for such discrepancies is under progress.

4. Beat Cepheids

Figure 3a-b display the Petersen diagrams for SMC (Fig. 3a), LMC and galactic (Fig. 3b) Beat Cepheids. The data are taken from EROS (Beaulieu et al. 1997b) and MACHO (Alcock et al. 1995; Alcock et al. 1997) collaborations. Comparison is also made with galactic F/1H pulsators (Szabados, 1988). Agreement with the F/1H mode pulsators is excellent for the three metallicities, except for the shortest periods of the LMC objects. The first crossing unstable models are also displayed, but predict slightly too high 1H/F period ratios and extremely short fundamental periods. A variation of Y from 0.25 to 0.28 does not affect significantly the period ratios and the $Z=0.008$ models give slightly higher period ratios than observed in the LMC, by 1-2%. The present models however yield a better agreement with observations than the one obtained by MW97 using the Chiosi (1990) ML relationship with overshooting.

The most striking and new result shown in Fig. 3 concerns the 1H/2H pulsators, which are reproduced by models in the first crossing instability. Indeed, low luminosities are required to reproduce the observed period ratios at very short periods, as noted by MW97. The luminosities during the He burning blue loop are already too large and yield too high P_1 , as indicated by the crosses in Fig. 3, as already noted by MW97. In order to reproduce the observed shortest periods, they need masses $m < 2 M_{\odot}$. Fig. 1 shows however that the evolution during the first crossing takes place at a significantly fainter luminosity and allows to reach the shortest periods observed with masses $m \geq 3M_{\odot}$. We find that at least the four faintest 1H/2H Beat Cepheids of the Beaulieu et al. (1997b) sample can be explained by models in the first crossing instability. This is statistically reasonable, considering that the total number of single mode Cepheids (F or 1H) found by EROS in the SMC is 400. Since the lowest masses contribute dominantly to this total number, the probability to have 4 Beat Cepheids in this sample in the first crossing instability i.e $4/400 \sim 1\%$ is consistent

with the ratio of the time spent in the first crossing instability phase to the total time spent in the instability strip (F and 1H instability strips included) (cf. §2).

5. Conclusions

We have computed self-consistent calculations between stellar evolution and linear stability analysis for SMC, LMC and galactic chemical compositions. This first consistent analysis yields good general agreement with the observed $P - M_V$ diagrams in the SMC and LMC and reproduces reasonably well the width of the instability strip in both cases.

The theoretical slope of the PL relationship is in excellent agreement with the empirical one derived by S97 for the SMC. The puzzling trend of a change of slope observed for $\log P \lesssim 0.4$ and discovered by Bauer (1997) is reproduced by the models and thus can be explained by *purely evolutionary* effects due to the reduced extension of the blue loop with decreasing mass.

For the LMC, the theoretical slope is $\sim 15\%$ less steep than the observed one. The minimum mass found to undergo a blue loop ($M = 3.75M_\odot$, $P_{blue\ loop} \sim 2$ days) corresponds to periods shorter by 20% than the ones of the faintest observed LMC Cepheids ($P_{obs} \sim 2.5$ days). Variations of the metallicity and initial helium abundance do not solve this discrepancy. This shows the limitation of the present calculations and points out a remaining puzzle for the LMC Cepheids. Investigation of remaining uncertainties in the current stellar evolution models is under progress but requires numerous lengthy calculations. We indeed note that overshooting can change the minimum mass undergoing a blue loop and thus the minimum period. However, the good agreement found for the SMC seems to indicate that the present standard evolutionary models are already basically correct.

Regarding Beat Cepheids, we show that 1H/2H pulsators with the shortest periods can *only* be reproduced by stars in the *first crossing instability phase*, with luminosity significantly lower than the one in the usual blue loop phase. This new result is supported by statistical arguments (cf. §4).

Finally, the present letter shows that consistent calculations between stellar evolution and pulsation analysis may give the right answer to some unexplained features of Cepheids.

We are grateful to the EROS group and in particular to F. Bauer for discussing the EROS-2 Cepheid sample prior to publication. We are also grateful to U. Lee for providing the original pulsation code and to W. Glatzel for helpful discussions. The calculations have been performed on the cray T3E of the Centre d’Etudes Nucléaires de Grenoble.

References

- Alcock, C., et al. 1995, AJ, 109, 1653
- Alcock, C., et al. 1997, preprint astro-ph/9709025
- Alexander D. R., and Fergusson, J. W., 1994, ApJ, 437, 879
- Allard, F., & Hauschildt, P.H., 1998, ApJ, submitted
- Bauer, F. 1997, PhD thesis, Paris VII
- Bauer, F., et al. 1998, A&A, in preparation
- Beaulieu, J.P., et al. 1995, A&A, 301, 137
- Beaulieu, J.P., et al. 1997a, A&A, 318, L47
- Beaulieu, J.P., et al. 1997b, A&A, 321, L5
- Beaulieu, J.P., & Sasselov, D. 1996, 12th IAP Astr. meeting, "Astrophysical returns of microlensing surveys", eds R. Ferlet and JP. Maillard
- Buchler, J.R., Kollath, Z., Beaulieu, J.P., & Goupil, M.J. 1996, ApJ, 462, L83
- Chiosi, C. 1990, "Confrontation Between Stellar Pulsation and Evolution", ASP Conf. Ser., eds. C. Cacciari and G. Clementini, p. 158
- Chiosi, C., Wood, P.R., & Capitano, N. 1993, ApJS, 86, 541 (CWC93)
- Christensen-Dalsgaard, J. 1993, "Inside the stars", IAU coll. 137, eds. W.W. Weiss and A. Baglin, ASP. Conf. Ser., 40, 483
- Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., & Petersen, J.O. 1995, A&A, 299, L17
- El Eid, M.F. 1994, MNRAS, 275, 983

- Iglesias, C.A., & Rogers, F.J. 1991, ApJ, 371, L73
- Iglesias, C.A., Rogers, F.J. 1996, ApJ, 464, 943
- Laney, C.D., & Stobie, R.S. 1994, MNRAS, 266, 441 (LS94)
- Lee, U. 1985, PASJ 37, 261L
- Lee, U., & Saio, H. 1989, MNRAS, 237, 875L
- Morgan, S.M., & Welch, D.L. 1997, AJ, 114, 1183 (MW97)
- Moskalik, P., Buchler, R., & Marom, A. 1992, ApJ, 385, 685
- Renault, C., et al. 1996, 12th IAP Astr. meeting, eds R. Ferlet and JP. Maillard
- Sasselov, D., et al. 1997, A&A, 324, 471 (S97)
- Szabados, L. 1988, "Multimode Stellar Pulsations", eds. G. Kovacs, L. Szabados and B. Szeidl, p. 1
- Tanvir, N.R. 1996, Proceedings of the STScI May Symposium, "the Extragalactic Distance Scale, eds. M. Livio, M. Donahue and N. Panagia, p. 91
- Welch, D.L, et al. 1996, 12th IAP Astr. meeting, eds R. Ferlet and JP. Maillard

Figure Captions

Fig. 1.– Theoretical H-R diagram for stars with $Z=0.004$, $Y=0.25$ and different masses. Open circles and crosses indicate the location of fundamental unstable modes. The distinction between both symbols is explained in the text (§2).

Fig. 2a.– Period- magnitude relationship for the SMC. Observations are from EROS (plus) and Laney & Stobie (1994, crosses). The models are for $Z=0.004$ and $Y=0.25$. Dots correspond to the core He burning unstable models. Open circles correspond to unstable models in the first crossing instability phase (see text). The straight line is the average statistical $P - M_V$ relation derived from the present models (see text). The slope and minimum mass are indicated in the upper left corner.

Fig. 2b.– Same as Fig. 2a for LMC data and models with $Z=0.01$ and $Y=0.25$

Fig. 3a.– Petersen diagram for SMC models with $Z=0.004$. Observations are from MACHO (full circles) and EROS (full squares). Crosses correspond to unstable models during the He burning blue loop. Open circles correspond to unstable models in the first crossing instability (see text). The corresponding masses are indicated for both F/1H (lower sequence) and 1H/2H (upper sequence) mode pulsators.

Fig. 3b.– Same as Fig. 3a for LMC models with $Z=0.01$ and $Y=0.25$. The F/1H galactic pulsators of Szabados (1988) are added (full diamonds) and compared to $Z=0.02$ models (the lowest sequence, +). The corresponding masses are indicated for $Z=0.01$. The $Z=0.02$ models are only shown during the He blue loop and correspond to 4.5 to 6 $M_\odot$ from left to right.









